

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,218	09/08/2003	Ernst Peter Strecker	12013/56004	1060
23838 7590 08/18/2008 KENYON & KENYON LLP			EXAMINER	
1500 K STREET N.W.			WILLSE, DAVID H	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/656,218	STRECKER, ERNST PETER					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Dave Willse	3738					

	Dave Willse	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED July 28, 2008, FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. \( \times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	te period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. carniner hold: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO ONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO ONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO ONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO ONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO ONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR. 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR. 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee naive been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee naive provided from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (o) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR. 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         (a) X They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);     </li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 33-36.38,46,49,51-53,56,57 and 59. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 60-73.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12.  Note: the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13.  Other:							
	/Dave Willse/ Primary Examiner Art U	nit 3738					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added term "annular" (proposed claim 33, lines 2 and 3) and the deleted term "synthetic" (proposed claim 33, line 7) alters the scope and requires further consideration of the prior art. Incorporating the further limitation of canceled claim 38 into claim 33 likewise alters the scope of claims which did not previously depend from claim 36.